Comparison of Erythrocyte Morphological Markers in Patients with the First Ischemic Cerebrovascular Attack

Ramin Parvizrad¹, Fatemeh Majidi², Alireza Rezaee Ashtyani³, Somayeh Nikfar^{4*}

¹Department of Emergency Medicine, School of Medicine, Arak University of Medical Sciences, Arak, Iran. ²Department of Cardiology, Dr Shariati Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

³Department of Neurology, School of Medicine, Arak University of Medical Sciences, Arak, Iran ^{4*}Department of Gynecology, School of Medicine, Arak University of Medical Sciences, Arak, Iran. E-mail: snikfar12@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Background & Objective: Stroke is the third leading cause of death in the world. Preventive and therapeutic approaches in this field are weak and further understanding of molecular mechanisms helps to diagnose and treat more effectively.

Methodology: This case-control study was conducted on 55 patients with ischemic stroke and 55 healthy individuals as a control group. The control group was collected from the staff and patients admitted to Valiasr Hospital in other wards. Demographic variables of the two groups were homogenized and then venous blood samples were taken to measure biochemical levels and complete blood counts.

Results: The mean age of patients was 69.98 years. The mean serum cholesterol level in patients was 157.1 mg/dL and it was 121.5 mg/dL in the control group and there was a statistically significant difference between the two groups (P < 0.05). MCV was 88.5 in patients and 83.2 in the control group with a statistically significant difference. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure were also significantly higher in patients. In addition, serum ferritin and iron levels and hemoglobin were significantly associated with stroke severity (P < 0.05).

Conclusion: The results of this study showed the relationship between erythrocyte morphology and stroke and based on this, more prospective studies can be recommended to evaluate the relationship between erythrocyte morphological markers and practical ways to prevent stroke.

KEYWORDS

Ischemic Stroke, Red Blood Cells, Mean Corpuscular Volume.

Introduction

Stroke is the third leading cause of death in the world after heart disease and cancer, and is emerging as the most common and life-threatening neurological problem worldwide (1). On average, every 4 minutes, someone dies of stroke (2). The prevalence of stroke is generally 2.8% and it is 2.7% in Asia (3). The incidence of stroke in Iran, which is a middle-income country, is significantly higher than in Western countries (4).

Worldwide, approximately 70% of strokes and 87% of deaths from stroke and disability occur in low- and middle-income countries (5, 6). Over the past few decades, the incidence of stroke has multiplied in low-income and middle-income countries, while it has fallen by 42% in high-income countries (5).

To prevent stroke, examining the underlying factors of stroke and its treatment can play an important role in reducing mortality (7-9). There is no difference between the prevention of subsequent stroke in a patient with symptomatic or asymptomatic stroke and in a patient with a transient ischemic attack (9).

Findings have shown that preventive and therapeutic approaches in this field are weak and more understanding of molecular mechanisms and prognostic indicators helps to diagnose and treat more effectively (10) and the important issue is to identify prognostic indicators and risk factors (11, 12).

Known risk factors include hypertension, hyperlipidemia and smoking, diabetes, obesity, and a family history of stroke (13-15). In Iran, risk factors such as hypertension and overweight are strong independent predictors of stroke (16). However, cerebrovascular accidents can sometimes occur in people who do not have any of these risk factors, as a result, there are likely to be other risk factors (13, 14).

http://annalsofrscb.ro

Identifying prognostic indicators can help prevent cerebrovascular disease. Pathophysiologies such as atherosclerosis, endothelial dysfunction (17), ferritin, iron and homocysteine levels have been reported in stroke (18-22). Vitamins B9 and B12 are important regulators of homocysteine metabolism. The association of homocysteine with other blood factors in stroke has been proven (23, 24). In addition, it is important to pay attention to micronutrients and factors affecting blood factors because of their role in blood cell morphology (20, 24).

According to the above, the study aimed to identify the rapid and inexpensive predictor of ischemic cerebral attacks and possibly other risk factors by focusing on morphological markers of blood cells.

Materials and Methods

Study Design

This case-control study was conducted on patients with ischemic stroke admitted to the emergency department of Valiasr Hospital with the diagnosis of the first ischemic stroke during March 2016 to March 2017. Eligible patients were included in the study. One hundred and ten patients were divided into two groups of case and control and studies were carried out on them.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria were the first ischemic stroke with diagnosis of the emergency medicine attend and confirmation by imaging methods and consent of patients or their companions. Exclusion criteria were individuals with chronic illness, insertion of any invasive device, endarterectomy, stent, thrombectomy or any intravascular treatment of the carotid artery in the last 30 days, general anesthesia or hospitalization equal to or more than 3 days during the last two weeks, receiving lipid-lowering medications, taking medications that affect homocysteine levels in the last 30 days (methotrexate, tamoxifen, levodopa, niacin), any megaloblastic anemia being treated, and a history of taking supplements and vitamins in the last six months.

Patient Selection

Patients were selected by convenience sampling and the sample size was calculated 55 people for each group based on $\alpha = 0.05$, a generalizable ratio to the population of 84% using the following formula. 55 patients with ischemic stroke and 55 healthy individuals were classified as the control group (110 in total).

$$n_1 = n_2 = \frac{2(Z_{1-\alpha_2} + Z_{1-\beta})^2 [P_1(1-P_1) + P_2(1-P_2)]}{(p_1 - p_2)^2} = 55 \qquad \alpha = 0.05 \qquad p_1 = 0.84 \qquad p_2 = 0.5 \qquad \beta = 0.2$$

Method Description

Patients with a possible diagnosis of stroke based on clinical signs were included in the study and were considered as the case group after following the usual diagnostic and therapeutic measures if the type of cerebrovascular accident was diagnosed as ischemic type, while examining the inclusion criteria if approved by an emergency medicine specialist. The control group was collected from the staff and patients admitted to Valiasr Hospital in other wards. Demographic variables of the two groups were homogenized and then venous blood samples were taken for biochemical measurement and complete blood count.

Data Collection

Basic information of each patient was entered in the questionnaire. and at the same time, MRS questionnaire (Modified Rankin Scale) and MMS questionnaire (Mini Mental State Exam) and nutritional status checklist (Mini Nutritional Assessment) and NIH stroke scale evaluation form of patients with inclusion criteria were completed. The study was continued until the sample size was equal to or greater than 55 and, at the same time, the control group was selected from other patients who were hospitalized for other reasons by homogenizing in terms of age, sex and risk factors, especially diabetes and dyslipidemia.

http://annalsofrscb.ro

Biochemical Study

At the time of admission to the neurology ward, 5 cc of fasting blood samples were taken to measure blood factors and levels of cr, TG, Chol. Level (HDL, total, LDL), complete blood cell count (CBC) and iron profile were obtained and sent to the reference laboratory.

In complete blood cell count (CBC), quantitative values of erythrocyte indices such as RBC count, hemoglobin concentration (Hb), hematocrit percentage (HCT), mean cell volume (MCV), mean cell hemoglobin (MCH), mean cellular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC), red blood cell distribution width (RDW) as well as differential count and number of white blood cells and platelet indices were determined in the same way by cell counter device (Horiba, made in Japan).

Ethical Considerations

Written consent was completed by the patients and the confidentiality of all information obtained from the research units was guaranteed. The cost of iron profile tests was requested with the patients' consent and no additional costs were imposed on the patients.

Data Analysis

After entering the data into SPSS.v22, the data were analyzed by drawing tables and graphs and using Chisquare and t-test and logistic regression test. A P-value of less than 05.0 was considered significant.

Findings

The two groups were similar in terms of primary demographic characteristics. The mean age of the total patients was 69.98 ± 14.28 years (it was $69.92.86 \pm 14.61$ and 70.07 ± 13.65 in the control and case groups, respectively) (Table 1). Comparison of qualitative indices between the two groups based on the hypothesis of equality in the study showed that the two groups had the same distribution in terms of age, sex, level of education, smoking, history of cardiovascular disease and taking medications and they had no statistically significant difference ($p \ge 0.05$).

Variable	Parameter	Number/Mean	Percentage
Age	Total	69,98±14,28	
Body mass index	Total	27,5±4,08	
Sav	Female	67	60.9
Sex	Male	43	39.1
	Primary	74	67.3
Education	Diploma	7	6.4
Education	Other	27	24.5
	Unknown	2	1.8
	Blood pressure	16	14.5
	Diabetes	18	16.4
	Peripheral vascular disease	2	1.8
Underlying disease	Carotid artery stenosis	1	.9
	Blood pressure and diabetes	28	25.5
	Several diseases	2	1.8
	None	43	39.1
	Non-smoker	100	90.9
Smoking	Occasionally	4	3.6
-	Continuously	6	5.5

Table 1. Frequency distribution of some demographic characteristics in all samples

According to Table 2, comparison of laboratory findings showed that the mean systolic and diastolic blood pressures in patients was 151.16 and 86.32, respectively, and was 121.54 and 76.18 in healthy individuals,

which indicates higher blood pressure in the case group than the control (p <0.001). Cholesterol levels in the control group were 184.24 and were 151.58 mg/dL in the control group, which showed a significant difference between the two groups. Also, the mean level of LDL was 124 and the level of triglyceride was 126 mg/dl and all the three were significantly higher than the control group and HDL with a mean value of 37.6 mg/dl was lower than the control group (p <0.001). The other variables in the two groups were not statistically significant (p \geq 0.05).

Index	Group	Mean	Std. Deviation	р	
aDD	Case	151.16	27.70	000	
SDF	Control	121.54	18.75	.000	
DDD	Case	86.32	17.01	000	
DBP	Control	76.18	9.32	.000	
DD	Case	85.91	11.08	71	
PK	Control	85.23	7.57	./1	
ah al laval	Case	184.16	50.24	000	
chol.level	Control	151.58	34.80	.000	
IDI	Case	124.30	47.06	.000	
LDL	Control	85.10	25.75		
IIDI	Case	37.63	11.46	000	
прг	Control	48.19	7.23	.000	
тс	Case	126.07	69.63	.000	
IG	Control	86.70	27.67		
DC	Case	162.74	60.03370	000	
85	Control	113.43	30.37	.000	
Serum cr	Case	.85	0.20	10	
	Control	.81	.130	.19	
CED	Case	71.39	31.64	.37	
GFR	Control	76.24	25.27		

 Table 2. Comparison of laboratory findings in the two groups

Comparison of the two groups in terms of morphology and complete blood count (CBC) indices showed that the level of MCV in the case group was 88.53 and was 83.24 µg/ml in the control group (p <0.001) and serum ferritin levels in the case group were 189.43 and 168.25 µg/ml in the control group (p=0.03). The two groups had a significant difference regarding these variables. But there was no significant difference in terms of other variables (p ≥ 0.05).

Index	Group	Mean	Std. Deviation	P-value	
DBC	Case	4.79	0.77	06	
KDU	Control	5.01	0.40	.00	
D14	Case	257.27	65.00	22	
Pit	Control	273.08	74.15	.23	
11-4	Case	e 41.85 4.66		20	
Het	Control	42.03	4.37	.30	
ть	Case	13.62	1.80	06	
HD	Control	13.61	0.78	.90	
MC	Case	88.53	8.32	000	
MC	Control	83.24	2.33	.000	
мен	Case	28.48	3.42	72	
MCH	Control	28.27	2.96	.72	
MCHC	Case	32.48	18.89	22	
MCHC	Control	37.72	39.39	.52	
Comum inon	Case	95.90	18.31	24	
Serum from	Control	93.22	21.97	.24	
¹ TIRC	Case	279.13	27.75	16	
TIDU	Control	256.03	32.51	.10	
Comm formitin	Case	189.43	38.70	02	
Serum territin	Control	168.25	43.52	.05	
Transformin acturation	Case	37.72	12.44	24	
I ransierin saturation	Control	33.16	14.91	.34	

Table 3. Comparison of morphological indices and CBC indices in the two groups

http://annalsofrscb.ro

¹Total iron binding capacity

The effect of erythrocyte morphological indices with ischemic stroke showed that only mean corpuscular volume (MCV) levels were significantly associated with stroke (p=0.001).

Index	β coefficient	р	odds ratio
RBC	0.245	0.08	1.34
Plt	0.198	0.23	1.03
Hct	0.607	0.09	0.986
Hb	0.451	0.07	1.06
MCV	0.676	0.001	1.89
MCH	0.421	0.08	0.93
MCHC	0.175	0.32	0.83
Serum iron	0.189	0.62	0.103
TIBC	0.296	0.67	0.80
Serumferritin	0.193	0.23	0.89
Transferin saturation	0.288	0.09	1.11

Table 4	1 . I	ogistic	regression	of er	vthrocv	te mor	phole	ogical	indices	with	ischemic	stroke
Lable	10 L	Jogistic	regression	01 01	yunoey	te mor	JIIOIC	Sieur	marces	** 1 111	isemennie	buoke

In order to determine the effect of erythrocyte morphology on the severity of ischemic stroke based on MRS index showed that none of the RBC indices were associated with stroke severity (P \ge 0.05). However, based on the statistical test, serum iron level and ferritin level were associated with the severity of ischemic stroke (p <0.05) (Table 5).

Index	Stroke severity	Number	Mean	Std. deviation	P-value	
	no significant disability	7	4.5	.13		
	slight disability	19	4.9	.76		
Somm inon	moderate disability	4	4.7	.46	0.005	
Serum from	moderatly sever disability	1	5.1	•	0.005	
	severe disability	33	4.6	.76		
	dead	0				
	no significant disability	7	253.5	47.22		
	slight disability	19	210.9	44.72		
TIDC	moderate disability	4	248.5	52.03	0.69	
TIDC	moderatly sever disability	1	290.0	•		
	severe disability	33	283.8	82.34		
	dead	0				
	no significant disability	7	42.2	3.86	-	
	slight disability	19	42.7	5.08		
Somm formitin	moderate disability	4	41.7	2.73	0.01	
Serum territin	moderatly sever disability	1	41.7	•	0.01	
	severe disability	33	40.8	4.20		
	dead	0				
	no significant disability	7	13.7	1.08		
	slight disability	19	14.4	2.11		
Transformin saturation	moderate disability	4	13.1	1.19	0.65	
Transferm saturation	moderatly sever disability	1	13.3	•		
	severe disability	33	13.3	1.81		
	Dead	0				

Table 5. Analysis of variance of ischemic stroke severity based on MRS index and serum iron indices

According to Table 6, the severity of dependence in patients with stroke based on Barthel Index with erythrocyte morphology by analysis of variance showed that no significant difference was seen in any of the cases ($P \ge 0.05$).

Table 6. Analysis of variance of erythrocyte morphology and severity of dependence based on BI index

Index	Dependence severity	Number	Mean	Std. deviation	P-value		
RBC	total dependent	19	4.953	.96			
	severe dep	12	4.732	0.70			
	mod Dep	10	4.68	0.36	0.875		
	slight dep	4	4.678	0.19			
	independent	10	4.71	0.93			
	total dependent	19	263.57	60.96			
	severe dep	12	304.83	69.02			
plt	mod Dep	10	254.70	58.06	0,112		
	slight dep	4	235.25	10.04			
	independent	10	269.60	40.93			
	total dependent	19	42.04	5.64			
	severe dep	12	41.050	4.58			
HCT	mod Dep	10	40.610	2.58	0,597		
	slight dep	4	44.750	2.04			
	independent	10	42.530	5.08			
	total dependent	19	13.48	1.98	0,449		
	severe dep	12	13.24	1.96			
HB	mod Dep	10	13.26	1.23			
	slight dep	4	14.67	0.12			
	independent	10	14.29	1.99			
	total dependent	19	87.71	7.30			
	severe dep	12	87.20	10.27			
MCV	mod Dep	10	86.63	3.39	0,464		
	slight dep	4	92.99	6.56			
	independent	10	91.79	11.20			
	total dependent	19	27.78	2.42			
	severe dep	12	27.67	4.19			
MCH	mod Dep	10	28.39	2.06	0,164		
	slight dep	4	28.47	5.36	ĺ ĺ		
	independent	10	30.90	3.82			
	total dependent	19	32.08	2.07			
	severe dep	12	31.84	2.01	1		
MCHC	mod Dep	10	32.56	1.47	0.136		
	slight dep	4	33.44	2.33			
	independent	10	33.56	1.20	1		

There was no significant difference in terms of cognitive changes according to MMSE criteria and using statistical test in erythrocyte morphology (Table 7).

Table 7. Analysis of variance of erythrocyte morphology and cognitive changes based on MMSE criteria

Index	Cognitive changes	Number	Mean	Std. deviation	P-value
	No cognitive impairment	9	4.67	1.02	
RBC	Mild cognitive impairment	10	4.61	0.54	0.565
_	Severe cognitive impairment	36	4.87	0.76	
	No cognitive impairment	9	221.66	56.81	
plt	Mild cognitive impairment	10	261.10	55.00	0,115
	Severe cognitive impairment	36	270.11	63.52	
	No cognitive impairment	9	41.40	6.02	
HCT	Mild cognitive impairment	10	42.11	3.44	0,945
	Severe cognitive impairment	36	41.89	4.69	
	No cognitive impairment	9	13.98	2.34	
¹ HB	Mild cognitive impairment	10	13.70	1.35	0,777
	Severe cognitive impairment	36	13.51	1.79	
	No cognitive impairment	9	90.24	11.58	
MCV	Mild cognitive impairment	10	91.10	8.63	0,375
	Severe cognitive impairment	36	87.40	7.28	
МСН	No cognitive impairment	9	30.55	4.09	
	Mild cognitive impairment	10	28.69	4.14	0,113
	Severe cognitive impairment	36	27.91	2.88	
	No cognitive impairment	9	33.72	1.11	
MCHC	Mild cognitive impairment	10	32.82	1.63	0,054
	Severe cognitive impairment	36	32.08	1.99	

In terms of stroke severity according to NIHSS criteria and using analysis of variance test according to the table below, a significant difference was seen in erythrocyte morphology only in hemoglobin level (P=003) (Table 8).

NO STROK 7 4.433 .18 MINOR STROK 18 4.764 .71 MODERATE STROK 12 4.693 .95 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 4.684 .68 SEVER STROK 9 4.684 .68 MOS STROK 7 254.714 49.06 MINOR STROK 18 235.833 61.00 MODERATE STROK 12 254.250 67.97 MODERATE STROK 9 267.667 63.65 SEVER STROK 9 295.778 74.58 MOS STROK 7 42.029 4.05 MINOR STROK 18 42.272 4.00 MODERATE STROK 9 40.656 4.29 NO STROK 7 13.571 1.40 MINOR STROK 18 14.139 1.65 MODERATE STROK 9 15.078 1.92 SEVER STROK 9 15.078 1.92 SEVER STROK 9 85.022 7.27 <th>Index</th> <th>Stroke severity</th> <th>Number</th> <th>Mean</th> <th>Std. deviation</th> <th>P-value</th>	Index	Stroke severity	Number	Mean	Std. deviation	P-value		
MINOR STROK184.764.71MODERATE STROK124.693.950,131MODERATE TO SEVER STROK95.373.80SEVER STROK94.684.68NO STROK7254.71449.06MINOR STROK18235.83361.00MODERATE STROK12254.25067.97MODERATE STROK9267.66763.65SEVER STROK9267.66763.65SEVER STROK9295.77874.58MODERATE TO SEVER STROK944.824.24SEVER STROK1239.7835.730,145MODERATE TO SEVER STROK944.8224.24SEVER STROK944.8224.24SEVER STROK944.8254.24SEVER STROK915.0781.92MODERATE TO SEVER STROK915.0781.92SEVER STROK915.0781.92SEVER STROK915.0781.92SEVER STROK915.0781.92SEVER STROK985.0227.27SEVER STROK985.0227.27SEVER STROK985.0227.27SEVER STROK927.46441.56MODERATE TO SEVER STROK927.46441.56MODERATE TO SEVER STROK927.46441.56MODERATE TO SEVER STROK927.46441.56MODERATE TO SEVER STROK927.46441.56MODERATE TO		NO STROK	7	4.433	.18			
RBC MODERATE STROK 12 4.693 .95 0,131 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 5.373 .80 SEVER STROK 9 4.684 .68 NO STROK 7 254.714 49.06 MINOR STROK 18 235.833 61.00 0.253 MODERATE STROK 12 254.250 67.97 0.253 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 267.667 63.65 5 SEVER STROK 9 295.778 74.58 0.253 MODERATE STROK 12 39.783 5.73 0.145 MODERATE STROK 12 39.783 5.73 0.145 MODERATE STROK 9 44.822 4.24 5 SEVER STROK 9 40.656 4.29 0.003 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 15.078 1.92 5 SEVER STROK 9 15.078 1.92 5 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 85.022 7.27 M		MINOR STROK	18	4.764	.71			
MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 5.373 .80 SEVER STROK 9 4.684 .68 NO STROK 7 254.714 49.06 MINOR STROK 18 235.833 61.00 MODERATE STROK 12 254.250 67.97 0,253 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 295.778 74.58 74.58 MODERATE STROK 9 295.778 74.58 74.58 NO STROK 7 42.029 4.00 40.65 MINOR STROK 18 42.272 4.00 40.45 MODERATE STROK 12 39.783 5.73 0,0145 MODERATE STROK 18 44.822 4.24 9 MODERATE STROK 9 40.656 4.29 9 0,03 MINOR STROK 18 14.139 1.65 9 0,003 MODERATE STROK 9 15.078 1.92 9,003 9,033 1.58 MODERATE STROK 9 12.533 1.58	RBC	MODERATE STROK	12	4.693	.95	0,131		
SEVER STROK 9 4.684 .68 NO STROK 7 254.714 49.06 MINOR STROK 18 235.833 61.00 MODERATE STROK 12 254.250 67.97 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 267.667 63.65 SEVER STROK 9 295.778 74.58 MODERATE STROK 12 39.783 5.73 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 40.656 4.29 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 40.656 4.29 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 40.656 4.29 MODERATE STROK 12 12.617 1.36 MODERATE STROK 12 12.617 1.36 MODERATE STROK 9 15.078 1.92 SEVER STROK 9 12.533 1.58 MODERATE STROK 12 12.617 1.36 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 15.078 1.92 SEVER STROK 9 85.022 7.27 MINOR STROK </td <td></td> <td>MODERATE TO SEVER STROK</td> <td>9</td> <td>5.373</td> <td>.80</td> <td></td>		MODERATE TO SEVER STROK	9	5.373	.80			
NO STROK 7 254.714 49.06 MINOR STROK 18 235.833 61.00 MODERATE STROK 12 254.250 67.97 0,253 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 295.778 74.58 74.58 MODERATE STROK 9 295.778 74.58 74.58 MOS STROK 7 42.029 4.05 74.58 MINOR STROK 18 42.272 4.00 74.58 MODERATE STROK 12 39.783 5.73 74.42 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 44.822 4.24 74.58 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 44.822 4.24 74.58 MOS TROK 7 13.571 1.40 75 MINOR STROK 18 192 75.73 74.58 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 15.078 1.92 74.58 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 15.078 1.92 74.58 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 85.022 7.27		SEVER STROK	9	4.684	.68			
MINOR STROK 18 235.833 61.00 MODERATE STROK 12 254.250 67.97 0,253 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 267.667 63.65 63.65 SEVER STROK 9 295.778 74.58 74.58 MOSTROK 7 42.029 4.05 44.53 MINOR STROK 18 42.272 4.00 44.53 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 40.656 4.29 4.45 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 40.656 4.29 4.05 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 40.656 4.29 4.00 MODERATE STROK 18 14.139 1.65 0,003 MODERATE STROK 12 12.617 1.36 0,003 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 12.533 1.58 9,003 MOVEN STROK 12 87.163 8.53 0,0285 MOVER STROK 9 85.022 7.27 9,285 MOVEN STROK 18 29.4039		NO STROK	7	254.714	49.06			
plt MODERATE STROK 12 254.250 67.97 0,253 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 267.667 63.65 SEVER STROK 9 295.778 74.58 NO STROK 7 42.029 4.05 MINOR STROK 18 42.272 4.00 MODERATE STROK 12 39.783 5.73 MODERATE STROK 12 39.783 5.73 MODERATE STROK 9 44.822 4.24 SEVER STROK 9 44.822 4.24 SEVER STROK 9 44.821 4.24 MODERATE STROK 12 12.617 1.36 MODERATE STROK 12 12.617 1.36 MODERATE STROK 9 15.078 1.92 SEVER STROK 9 15.078 1.92 SEVER STROK 9 85.022 7.27 MODERATE STROK 12 87.163 8.53 MODERATE STROK 12 27.6233 3.57 MODERAT		MINOR STROK	18	235.833	61.00			
MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 267.667 63.65 SEVER STROK 9 295.778 74.58 NO STROK 7 42.029 4.05 MINOR STROK 18 42.272 4.00 MODERATE STROK 12 39.783 5.73 0,145 MODERATE STROK 12 39.783 5.73 0,145 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 44.822 4.24 SEVER STROK 9 40.656 4.29 NO STROK 7 13.571 1.40 MINOR STROK 18 14.139 1.65 MODERATE STROK 9 15.078 1.92 SEVER STROK 9 12.533 1.58 MOS TROK 7 93.984 7.17 MINOR STROK 18 89.139 7.99 MCV MODERATE STROK 12 87.163 8.53 MODERATE STROK 9 88.460 9.82 MCV MODERATE STROK 12 27.4644 1.56	plt	MODERATE STROK	12	254.250	67.97	0,253		
SEVER STROK 9 295.778 74.58 NO STROK 7 42.029 4.05 MINOR STROK 18 42.272 4.00 MODERATE STROK 12 39.783 5.73 0,145 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 44.822 4.24 0 SEVER STROK 9 40.656 4.29 0,145 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 40.656 4.29 0,003 MINOR STROK 18 14.139 1.65 0,003 MODERATE STROK 12 12.617 1.36 0,003 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 15.078 1.92 0,003 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 15.078 1.92 0,285 MOV MODERATE STROK 12 87.163 8.53 0,285 MOVE MODERATE STROK 12 87.163 8.53 0,285 MCV MODERATE STROK 9 88.460 9.82 0,193 MCV MODERATE STROK 12		MODERATE TO SEVER STROK	9	267.667	63.65			
NO STROK 7 42.029 4.05 MINOR STROK 18 42.272 4.00 MODERATE STROK 12 39.783 5.73 0,145 MODERATE STROK 9 44.822 4.24 SEVER STROK 9 40.656 4.29 NO STROK 7 13.571 1.40 MINOR STROK 18 14.139 1.65 MODERATE STROK 9 15.078 1.92 SEVER STROK 9 15.078 1.92 SEVER STROK 9 12.533 1.58 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 12.533 1.58 MCV MODERATE STROK 12 87.163 8.53 0,285 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 88.460 9.82 0,285 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 88.460 9.82 0,193 MCV MODERATE STROK 12 27.6233 3.57 0,193 MCH MODERATE STROK 12 27.6233 3.57		SEVER STROK	9	295.778	74.58			
MINOR STROK 18 42.272 4.00 MODERATE STROK 12 39.783 5.73 0,145 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 44.822 4.24 SEVER STROK 9 40.656 4.29 NO STROK 7 13.571 1.40 MINOR STROK 18 14.139 1.65 0,003 MODERATE STROK 12 12.617 1.36 0,003 MODERATE STROK 9 15.078 1.92 0,003 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 12.533 1.58 0,003 MCV MODERATE STROK 12 87.163 8.53 0,285 MCV MODERATE STROK 12 87.163 8.53 0,285 MCV MODERATE STROK 9 88.460 9.82 0,285 MODERATE STROK 12 27.6233 3.57 0,193 MCH MODERATE STROK 12 27.6233 3.57 0,193 MCH MODERATE STROK 9		NO STROK	7	42.029	4.05			
HCT MODERATE STROK 12 39.783 5.73 0,145 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 44.822 4.24 SEVER STROK 9 40.656 4.29 NO STROK 7 13.571 1.40 MINOR STROK 18 14.139 1.65 0,003 MODERATE STROK 12 12.617 1.36 0,003 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 15.078 1.92 0,003 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 12.533 1.58 0,003 MCV MODERATE STROK 12 89.139 7.99 0,285 MCV MODERATE STROK 12 87.163 8.53 0,285 MCV MODERATE STROK 12 87.163 8.53 0,285 MCV MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 88.460 9.82 0,193 MCH MODERATE STROK 12 27.6233 3.57 0,193 MCH MODERATE STROK 12 27.3444 3.55 0,193 MCH MODERATE STROK 9 27.3444 3.55		MINOR STROK	18	42.272	4.00			
MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 44.822 4.24 SEVER STROK 9 40.656 4.29 NO STROK 7 13.571 1.40 MINOR STROK 18 14.139 1.65 0,003 MODERATE STROK 12 12.617 1.36 0,003 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 15.078 1.92 0,003 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 12.533 1.58 0,003 MOV SEVER STROK 9 12.533 1.58 0,285 MODERATE STROK 12 87.163 8.53 0,285 MOV MODERATE STROK 12 87.163 8.53 0,285 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 88.460 9.82 0,285 MODERATE STROK 12 27.6233 3.57 0,193 MCH MODERATE STROK 12 27.6233 3.57 0,193 MODERATE STROK 9 27.4644 1.56 5 5 5 14 14 <t< td=""><td>HCT</td><td>MODERATE STROK</td><td>12</td><td>39.783</td><td>5.73</td><td>0,145</td></t<>	HCT	MODERATE STROK	12	39.783	5.73	0,145		
SEVER STROK 9 40.656 4.29 NO STROK 7 13.571 1.40 MINOR STROK 18 14.139 1.65 MODERATE STROK 12 12.617 1.36 0,003 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 15.078 1.92 52 SEVER STROK 9 12.533 1.58 0,003 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 12.533 1.58 MOS STROK 7 93.984 7.17 MINOR STROK 18 89.139 7.99 MCV MODERATE STROK 12 87.163 8.53 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 88.460 9.82 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 88.460 9.82 MOS TROK 7 30.4100 2.74 MINOR STROK 18 29.4039 3.86 MODERATE STROK 9 27.3644 1.56 SEVER STROK 9 27.3444 3.55 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 27.3444 <td></td> <td>MODERATE TO SEVER STROK</td> <td>9</td> <td>44.822</td> <td>4.24</td> <td></td>		MODERATE TO SEVER STROK	9	44.822	4.24			
NO STROK 7 13.571 1.40 MINOR STROK 18 14.139 1.65 MODERATE STROK 12 12.617 1.36 0,003 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 15.078 1.92 0,003 SEVER STROK 9 12.533 1.58 0,003 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 12.533 1.58 0,035 MCV MOSTROK 7 93.984 7.17 MINOR STROK 18 89.139 7.99 0,285 MODERATE STROK 12 87.163 8.53 0,285 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 88.460 9.82 0,285 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 88.460 9.82 0,193 MCH MODERATE STROK 12 27.6233 3.57 0,193 MCH MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 27.3444 3.55 0,193 MCH MINOR STROK 7 32.2071 1.42 0,111 MCHCH MINOR STROK		SEVER STROK	9	40.656	4.29	1		
MINOR STROK 18 14.139 1.65 0,003 HB MODERATE STROK 12 12.617 1.36 0,003 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 15.078 1.92 0,003 SEVER STROK 9 12.533 1.58 0,003 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 12.533 1.58 0,285 MOS STROK 7 93.984 7.17 0,285 MODERATE STROK 12 87.163 8.53 0,285 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 85.022 7.27 52 SEVER STROK 9 88.460 9.82 0,285 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 88.460 9.82 0,193 MCH MODERATE STROK 12 27.6233 3.57 0,193 MCH MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 27.3444 3.55 0,193 MCH MINOR STROK 18 32.4867 1.52 0,111 MCH MINOR STROK 18 33.4867 1.52<		NO STROK	7	13.571	1.40			
HB MODERATE STROK 12 12.617 1.36 0,003 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 15.078 1.92 0		MINOR STROK	18	14.139	1.65			
MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 15.078 1.92 SEVER STROK 9 12.533 1.58 NO STROK 7 93.984 7.17 MINOR STROK 18 89.139 7.99 MCV MODERATE STROK 12 87.163 8.53 0,285 MODERATE STROK 12 87.163 8.53 0,285 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 88.460 9.82 0,285 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 88.460 9.82 0,193 MCH MINOR STROK 18 29.4039 3.86 MCH MODERATE STROK 12 27.6233 3.57 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 27.4644 1.56 SEVER STROK 9 27.3444 3.55 NO STROK 7 32.071 1.42 MINOR STROK 18 33.4867 1.52 MODERATE STROK 12 31.7475 2.19 MCHCH MODERATE STROK 12 31.7475	HB	MODERATE STROK	12	12.617	1.36	0,003		
SEVER STROK 9 12.533 1.58 NO STROK 7 93.984 7.17 MINOR STROK 18 89.139 7.99 MODERATE STROK 12 87.163 8.53 0,285 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 85.022 7.27 SEVER STROK 9 88.460 9.82 MOS STROK 18 29.4039 3.86 MODERATE STROK 12 27.6233 3.57 MINOR STROK 12 27.6233 3.57 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 27.4644 1.56 SEVER STROK 9 27.4644 1.56 SEVER STROK 9 27.4644 1.56 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 27.4644 1.56 SEVER STROK 9 27.3444 3.55 MODERATE STROK 12 31.7475 2.19 MCHC MODERATE STROK 12 31.7475 2.19 MCHC MODERATE STROK 12 31.7475 2.19		MODERATE TO SEVER STROK	9	15.078	1.92	1		
NO STROK 7 93.984 7.17 MINOR STROK 18 89.139 7.99 MODERATE STROK 12 87.163 8.53 0,285 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 85.022 7.27 SEVER STROK 9 88.460 9.82 MOS STROK 7 30.4100 2.74 MINOR STROK 12 27.6233 3.57 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 27.4644 1.56 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 27.4644 1.56 SEVER STROK 9 27.3444 3.55 NO STROK 7 32.071 1.42 MINOR STROK 18 33.4867 1.52 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 27.3444 3.55 MOSTROK 7 32.071 1.42 MINOR STROK 18 33.4867 1.52 MODERATE STROK 12 31.7475 2.19 MODERATE STROK 9 33.0433 1.98 SEVER STROK		SEVER STROK	9	12.533	1.58			
MINOR STROK 18 89.139 7.99 MCV MODERATE STROK 12 87.163 8.53 0,285 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 85.022 7.27 SEVER STROK 9 88.460 9.82 NO STROK 7 30.4100 2.74 MINOR STROK 18 29.4039 3.86 MCH MODERATE STROK 12 27.6233 3.57 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 27.4644 1.56 SEVER STROK 9 27.3444 3.55 NO STROK 7 32.2071 1.42 MINOR STROK 18 33.467 1.52 MODERATE STROK 12 31.7475 2.19 MCHC MODERATE STROK 12 31.7475 2.19 MCHC MODERATE STROK 12 31.7475 2.19 MCHC MODERATE STROK 9 33.0433 1.98 SEVER STROK 9 31.1456 1.31		NO STROK	7	93.984	7.17			
MCV MODERATE STROK 12 87.163 8.53 0,285 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 85.022 7.27 SEVER STROK 9 88.460 9.82 NO STROK 7 30.4100 2.74 MINOR STROK 18 29.4039 3.86 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 12 27.6233 3.57 MODERATE STROK 12 27.4644 1.56 SEVER STROK 9 27.4644 1.56 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 27.3444 3.55 MOS TROK 7 32.2071 1.42 MINOR STROK 18 33.4867 1.52 MODERATE STROK 12 31.7475 2.19 MCHC MODERATE STROK 12 31.7475 2.19 MCHC MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 33.0433 1.98 SEVER STROK 9 31.1456 1.31		MINOR STROK	18	89.139	7.99			
MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 85.022 7.27 SEVER STROK 9 88.460 9.82 NO STROK 7 30.4100 2.74 MINOR STROK 18 29.4039 3.86 MODERATE STROK 12 27.6233 3.57 MODERATE STROK 12 27.4644 1.56 SEVER STROK 9 27.3444 3.55 NO STROK 7 32.2071 1.42 MINOR STROK 18 33.4867 1.52 MODERATE STROK 12 31.7475 2.19 MCHC MODERATE STROK 12 31.7475 2.19 MCHC MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 33.0433 1.98	MCV	MODERATE STROK	12	87.163	8.53	0,285		
SEVER STROK 9 88.460 9.82 NO STROK 7 30.4100 2.74 MINOR STROK 18 29.4039 3.86 MODERATE STROK 12 27.6233 3.57 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 27.4644 1.56 SEVER STROK 9 27.3444 3.55 NO STROK 7 32.2071 1.42 MINOR STROK 18 33.4867 1.52 MODERATE STROK 12 31.7475 2.19 MCHC MODERATE STROK 9 33.0433 1.98 SEVER STROK 9 31.1456 1.31		MODERATE TO SEVER STROK	9	85.022	7.27	· ·		
NO STROK 7 30.4100 2.74 MINOR STROK 18 29.4039 3.86 MODERATE STROK 12 27.6233 3.57 0,193 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 27.4644 1.56 SEVER STROK 9 27.3444 3.55 NO STROK 7 32.2071 1.42 MINOR STROK 18 33.4867 1.52 MODERATE STROK 12 31.7475 2.19 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 33.0433 1.98 SEVER STROK 9 31.1456 1.31		SEVER STROK	9	88.460	9.82			
MINOR STROK 18 29.4039 3.86 MODERATE STROK 12 27.6233 3.57 0,193 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 27.4644 1.56 SEVER STROK 9 27.3444 3.55 NO STROK 7 32.2071 1.42 MINOR STROK 18 33.4867 1.52 MODERATE STROK 12 31.7475 2.19 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 33.0433 1.98 SEVER STROK 9 31.1456 1.31		NO STROK	7	30.4100	2.74			
MCH MODERATE STROK 12 27.6233 3.57 0,193 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 27.4644 1.56 SEVER STROK 9 27.3444 3.55 NO STROK 7 32.2071 1.42 MINOR STROK 18 33.4867 1.52 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 12 31.7475 2.19 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 33.0433 1.98 SEVER STROK 9 31.1456 1.31		MINOR STROK	18	29.4039	3.86			
MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 27.4644 1.56 SEVER STROK 9 27.3444 3.55 NO STROK 7 32.2071 1.42 MINOR STROK 18 33.4867 1.52 MCHC MODERATE STROK 12 31.7475 2.19 0,111 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 33.0433 1.98 52 0,111	MCH	MODERATE STROK	12	27.6233	3.57	0,193		
SEVER STROK 9 27.3444 3.55 NO STROK 7 32.2071 1.42 MINOR STROK 18 33.4867 1.52 MODERATE STROK 12 31.7475 2.19 0,111 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 33.0433 1.98 SEVER STROK 9 31.1456 1.31		MODERATE TO SEVER STROK	9	27.4644	1.56			
NO STROK 7 32.2071 1.42 MINOR STROK 18 33.4867 1.52 MODERATE STROK 12 31.7475 2.19 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 33.0433 1.98 SEVER STROK 9 31.1456 1.31		SEVER STROK	9	27.3444	3.55			
MINOR STROK 18 33.4867 1.52 MCHC MODERATE STROK 12 31.7475 2.19 0,111 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 33.0433 1.98 SEVER STROK 9 31.1456 1.31		NO STROK	7	32.2071	1.42			
MCHC MODERATE STROK 12 31.7475 2.19 0,111 MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 33.0433 1.98 SEVER STROK 9 31.1456 1.31		MINOR STROK	18	33.4867	1.52			
MODERATE TO SEVER STROK 9 33.0433 1.98 SEVER STROK 9 31.1456 1.31	MCHC	MODERATE STROK	12	31.7475	2.19	0,111		
SEVER STROK 9 31.1456 1.31		MODERATE TO SEVER STROK	9	33.0433	1.98			
		SEVER STROK	9	31.1456	1.31			

Table 8. Analysis of variance of erythrocyte morphology and stroke severity according to NIHSS criteria

The mean severity of malnutrition and nutritional status in the two groups based on the MNA questionnaire showed that the groups were different in terms of nutrition (Table 9). 6 patients (11%) had clinical malnutrition and 22 patients (40%) were exposed to it. In all three cases, the control group was significantly different from the control group (p < 0.05).

Index	Group		Number	Percentage	P-value
		Malnutrition	6	11	
Frequency	Case	Exposed to	22	40	0.000
		Healthy	27	49	0,000
	Control	Malnutrition	0	0,0	
		Exposed to	0	0,0	
		Healthy	55	0,0	
		Number	Mean	Std. deviation	
MNIA announced	Case	55	12.54	2.54	0.000
WINA assessment	Control	55	15.18	1.18	0,000
MNA concenting	Case	55	10.7	2.94	0.000
wink screening	Control	55	13	0.86	0,000
	Case	55	23.25	4.75	0.000
wina totai assessment	Control	55	28.2	1.41	0,000
Frequency	Case		Number	Percentage	0,000

Table 9. Comparison of nutritional status in the two groups

Description: Nutritional status checklist is related to anthropometric and nutritional parameters such as albumin, prealbumin, transferrin, cholesterol, retinol, cholecalciferol and zinc. It is also associated with hematologic changes such as hematocrit and hemoglobin

Discussion

Comparison of erythrocyte morphological markers in stroke patients can predict the occurrence of the disease in the individual (11, 20). Our study showed that hyperlipidemia and hypertension were two effective factors in stroke patients and the mean systolic blood pressure was 151 mm Hg and the mean diastolic blood pressure was 86 mm Hg and both were significantly higher than the control group, which is similar to the results of previous studies (7, 25). Similar studies by Aksoy et al. after recording the laboratory findings of patients based on MRSS showed that hypertension has the highest ranking in terms of risk factor (26). In our study, the levels of HDL, LDL and TG in the two groups were significantly different, which was consistent with the studies (13, 14, 16).

Our findings showed that 6 patients (11%) had clinical malnutrition and 22 patients (40%) were exposed to it. However, all subjects in the control group had normal nutritional status. Similar studies have shown that clinical malnutrition is more common in people with stroke than in healthy individuals (27-29). The status studied in MNA is also associated with micronutrient intake and energy intake of the patient (30). According to the above and the results of this study, it can be claimed that more than 50% of patients with ischemic stroke suffer from some kind of energy or micronutrient eating disorder, or in other words, it can be claimed that ischemic stroke can be associated with energy or micronutrient deficiencies.

Our study showed that among the erythrocyte morphology and indicators, only mean corpuscular volume (MCV) is associated with ischemic stroke (Table 4). Hemoglobin was also an effective and significant factor in increasing the severity of stroke (Table 8).

Studies have shown that iron overload contributes to the development of vascular disease by causing thrombosis after arterial injury. High serum ferritin on admission of acute stroke patients (within 24 to 48 hours after stroke onset) had poor prognosis implicating that increase in body iron stores before stroke onset can aggravate the brain ischemia cytotoxicity. Therefore, it has been suggested that high serum ferritin affects the prognosis of ischemic stroke and also acts as a risk factor for ischemic episodes by enhancing atherogenesis (31, 32).

Studies have shown that folate and iron are directly related. Increasing the intake of high-dose dietary folate as a prophylactic agent in ischemic stroke will be associated with a significant reduction in stroke. (33) Sohini Sengupta et al. have stated that primary treatment measures with vitamins can prevent ischemic stroke attacks due to hyperhomocysteinemia (34).

In our study, mean serum iron and ferritin were significantly associated with stroke severity (Table 5). A consistent study showed that the mean serum iron level was lower in the group of stroke patients and this factor played an important role in stroke (35). In other studies in 2021, the results showed a significant effect of serum iron and ferritin in people with stroke (36, 37). Statistical findings showed that old age, high MCV and low folate levels were associated with stroke prognosis (27).

In the present study, hemoglobin was significantly lower in patients with ischemic stroke attacks with healthy individuals. Recent studies in 2021 show that hemoglobin levels play an important role in stroke (38). However, in the study of Santos et al., it was shown that the level of hematocrit was significantly lower in patients with ischemic stroke attacks than the control group, but the hemoglobin level was not different in the two groups (39).

In the analysis of variance, none of the indicators and erythrocyte morphology were associated with stroke severity. However, with the increase in iron levels, the stroke severity increased and, conversely, with the decrease in ferritin levels, the stroke severity increased.

Conclusion

Based on the evidence of the above studies and the association of malnutrition and MCV levels with stroke, it can be concluded that micronutrient malnutrition, especially folic acid and vitamin B12, is associated with ischemic stroke. the role of easy, quick, and low-cost measurement of CBC and observation of high MCV as a risk factor for ischemic stroke and possibly prophylactic measures can be considered in subsequent complementary studies.

References

- [1] Luthra R, Chen H, Roy-Chowdhuri S, Singh RR. Next-Generation Sequencing in Clinical Molecular Diagnostics of Cancer: Advantages and Challenges. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2015; 7(4): 2023-36.
- [2] Prevalence of stroke--United States, 2006-2010. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2012; 61(20): 379-82.
- [3] Azarpazhooh MR, Etemadi MM, Donnan GA, Mokhber N, Majdi MR, Ghayour-Mobarhan M, et al. Excessive incidence of stroke in Iran: evidence from the Mashhad Stroke Incidence Study (MSIS), a population-based study of stroke in the Middle East. *Stroke*. 2010; 41(1):e3-e10.
- [4] Delbari A, Salman Roghani R, Tabatabaei SS, Rahgozar M, Lokk J. Stroke epidemiology and onemonth fatality among an urban population in Iran. *Int J Stroke*. 2011; 6(3): 195-200.
- [5] Feigin VL, Forouzanfar MH, Krishnamurthi R, Mensah GA, Connor M, Bennett DA, et al. Global and regional burden of stroke during 1990-2010: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*. 2014; 383(9913): 245-54.
- [6] Feigin VL, Lawes CM, Bennett DA, Barker-Collo SL, Parag V. Worldwide stroke incidence and early case fatality reported in 56 population-based studies: a systematic review. *Lancet Neurol.* 2009; 8(4): 355-69.
- [7] Ghandehari K, Ghandehari S. Topographic evaluation of aphasia in 100 stroke patients. *SSU_Journals*. 2005; 13(3):9-12.
- [8] Adams HP, Jr., Adams RJ, Brott T, del Zoppo GJ, Furlan A, Goldstein LB, et al. Guidelines for the early management of patients with ischemic stroke: A scientific statement from the Stroke Council of the American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. 2003; 34(4): 1056-83.
- [9] Ghandehari K, Shuaib A. Atherosclerosis risk factors frequency among North Americans and Iranians stroke cases. *Arya Atherosclerosis*. 2010; 4(3).
- [10] Global, regional, and national burden of stroke, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet Neurol*. 2019;18(5): 439-58.
- [11] Elsheikh WM, Alahmar IE, Salem GM, Matar ES. New stroke prognostic factors. The Egyptian *Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery*. 2020; 56(1): 1-9.
- [12] Broderick JP, Elm JJ, Janis LS, Zhao W, Moy CS, Dillon CR, et al. National Institutes of Health StrokeNet During the Time of COVID-19 and Beyond. *Stroke*. 2020; 51(8): 2580-6.
- [13] Mattson MP, Shea TB. Folate and homocysteine metabolism in neural plasticity and neurodegenerative disorders. *Trends Neurosci.* 2003; 26(3): 137-46.
- [14] Zou CG, Banerjee R. Homocysteine and redox signaling. *Antioxid Redox Signal.* 2005; 7(5-6): 547-59.
- [15] Zafari P, Zarifian A, Alizadeh-Navaei R, Taghadosi M, Rafiei A, Samimi Z, et al. Asymmetric and symmetric dimethylarginine concentration as an indicator of cardiovascular diseases in rheumatoid arthritis patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis of case-control studies. *Clin Rheumatol.* 2020; 39(1): 127-34.
- [16] Karami M, Soori H, Monfared AB. Estimating the contribution of selected risk factors in attributable

burden to stroke in iran. Iran J Public Health. 2012; 41(5): 91-6.

- [17] Casas JP, Bautista LE, Smeeth L, Sharma P, Hingorani AD. Homocysteine and stroke: evidence on a causal link from mendelian randomisation. *Lancet.* 2005; 365(9455): 224-32.
- [18] Martí-Carvajal AJ, Solà I, Lathyris D, Karakitsiou DE, Simancas-Racines D. Homocysteinelowering interventions for preventing cardiovascular events. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013(1): Cd006612.
- [19] Holmes MV, Newcombe P, Hubacek JA, Sofat R, Ricketts SL, Cooper J, et al. Effect modification by population dietary folate on the association between MTHFR genotype, homocysteine, and stroke risk: a meta-analysis of genetic studies and randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2011; 378(9791): 584-94.
- [20] Narayan M, Singh SK. Study of association between serum ferritin and prognosis of patients in acute ischemic and haemorrhagic stroke. *IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences*. 2018; 17: 46-56.
- [21] Spence JD, Bang H, Chambless LE, Stampfer MJ. Vitamin Intervention For Stroke Prevention trial: an efficacy analysis. *Stroke*. 2005; 36(11): 2404-9.
- [22] Heinz J, Kropf S, Domröse U, Westphal S, Borucki K, Luley C, et al. B vitamins and the risk of total mortality and cardiovascular disease in end-stage renal disease: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Circulation*. 2010; 121(12): 1432-8.
- [23] Saposnik G, Ray JG, Sheridan P, McQueen M, Lonn E. Homocysteine-lowering therapy and stroke risk, severity, and disability: additional findings from the HOPE 2 trial. *Stroke*. 2009; 40(4): 1365-72.
- [24] Wald DS, Law M, Morris JK. Homocysteine and cardiovascular disease: evidence on causality from a meta-analysis. *Bmj.* 2002; 325(7374): 1202.
- [25] Zare'a M. Blood Pressure Determinants in Shiraz School Children. Journal of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences. 2008; 11(4).
- [26] Aksoy D, Inanir A, Ayan M, Cevik B, Kurt S, ÜNALDI HK. Predictors of mortality and morbidity in acute ischemic stroke. Arch Neuropsychiatry. 2013; 50: 40-4.
- [27] Nishioka S, Omagari K, Nishioka E, Mori N, Taketani Y, Kayashita J. Concurrent and predictive validity of the Mini Nutritional Assessment Short-Form and the Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index in older stroke rehabilitation patients. *J Hum Nutr Diet.* 2020; 33(1): 12-22.
- [28] Nishioka S, Wakabayashi H, Kayashita J, Taketani Y, Momosaki R. Predictive validity of the Mini Nutritional Assessment Short-Form for rehabilitation patients: A retrospective analysis of the Japan Rehabilitation Nutrition Database. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2021.
- [29] Matsushita T, Nishioka S, Taguchi S, Yamanouchi A, Nakashima R, Wakabayashi H. Sarcopenic Obesity and Activities of Daily Living in Stroke Rehabilitation Patients: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Healthcare (Basel).* 2020 ;8(3).
- [30] Vellas B, Guigoz Y, Baumgartner M, Garry PJ, Lauque S, Albarede JL. Relationships between nutritional markers and the mini-nutritional assessment in 155 older persons. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2000; 48(10): 1300-9.
- [31] Njajou OT, Hollander M, Koudstaal PJ, Hofman A, Witteman JC, Breteler MM, et al. Mutations in the hemochromatosis gene (HFE) and stroke. *Stroke*. 2002; 33(10): 2363-6.
- [32] Millán M, Sobrino T, Arenillas JF, Rodríguez-Yáñez M, García M, Nombela F, et al. Biological signatures of brain damage associated with high serum ferritin levels in patients with acute ischemic stroke and thrombolytic treatment. *Dis Markers*. 2008; 25(3): 181-8.
- [33] McNulty H, Pentieva K, Hoey L, Ward M. Homocysteine, B-vitamins and CVD. Proc Nutr Soc. 2008;67(2):232-7.
- [34] Liberato SC, Pinheiro-Sant'Ana HM. Fortification of industrialized foods with vitamins. *Revista de Nutrição*. 2006; 19(2): 215-31.

- [35] Li J, Feng L, Huang Q, Ren W. An L-Shaped Relationship Between Serum Iron and Stroke-Associated Pneumonia. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2021; 16: 505-11.
- [36] Gill D, Monori G, Tzoulaki I, Dehghan A. Iron Status and Risk of Stroke. *Stroke*. 2018; 49(12): 2815-21.
- [37] Garg R, Aravind S, Kaur S, Singh Chawla SP, Aggarwal S, Goyal G. Role of serum ferritin as a prognostic marker in acute ischemic stroke: A preliminary observation. *Ann Afr Med.* 2020; 19(2): 95-102.
- [38] Yoshimura Y, Wakabayashi H, Shiraishi A, Nagano F, Bise T, Shimazu S. Hemoglobin Improvement is Positively Associated with Functional Outcomes in Stroke Patients with Anemia. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2021; 30(1): 105453.
- [39] Santos-Silva A, Rebelo I, Castro E, Belo L, Catarino C, Monteiro I, et al. Erythrocyte damage and leukocyte activation in ischemic stroke. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2002; 320(1-2): 29-35.