# Spectrum of Cancer Risk in Renal Transplant Recipients- A Meta-analysis

### K.Murugavel<sup>1</sup>, L.Karpagavel<sup>1</sup>\*, K.Aruna<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Chettinad Hospital and Research Institute, Chettinad Academy of Research and Education (CARE), Kelambakkam, Chennai, Tamil Nadu

<sup>1</sup>Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Chettinad Hospital and Research Institute, Chettinad Academy of Research and Education (CARE), Kelambakkam, Chennai, Tamil Nadu

<sup>3</sup> Lab Technician, Central Clinical Laboratory, Chettinad Hospital and Research Institute, Chettinad Academy of Research and Education (CARE), Kelambakkam, Chennai, Tamil Nadu

### \*Corresponding author

Dr. L. Karpagavel, Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Chettinad Hospital and Research Institute,

Chettinad Academy of Research and Education (CARE), Kelambakkam, Chennai, Tamil Nadu

### Email: karparg@yahoo.com

### ABSTRACT

Introduction: Renal transplant is the main modality of treatment available for end stage renal failure. There is a small risk of various types of cancers associated with renal transplant recipients due to usage of immune suppressive drugs and its consequences. Hence the purpose of this meta- analysisstudy was to determine the spectrum of cancers associated with renal transplant recipients. Methods: The studies which testified the incidence of cancer after renal transplantation were collected from various search engines such as Google Scholar, PubMed and EMBASE. All studies that evaluated the occurrence of cancer after renal transplantation between the years 1990-2018 were considered to be eligible for this study. Data were collected from 5 National and 5 International studies and analysed. MOOSE guidelines were used to assess the quality of the studies included in this study and reviewed briefly in RevMan version 5.3 review manager software. Statistical analysis of the included data was done using RevMan version 5.3 review manager software designed for meta-analysis and systemic review studies. Quantification of heterogeneity is done by  $I^2$  value. Result: This study included 4666 recipients of kidney transplants from National studies and 8189 recipients of kidney transplants from International studies. Incidence of cancer was 61 and Non incidence of cancer was 4605 out of 4666 recipients compared in National studies, Heterogeneity  $chi^2 = 32.53$  and p(<0.0001),  $I^2 = 88\%$ , Test for overall effect Z=33.99, p(<0.0001).Forest plot comparison for the incidence of cancer after renal transplantation from International studies (figure4) which showed the risk of cancer was low. Total Confidence Interval was 95%, Incidence of cancer was 467 and Non incidence of cancer was 7722 out of 8189 recipients compared in International studies, Heterogeneity tau = 0.04 Chi = 17.24 df = 4 I = 77%(p=0.002), test for overall effect Z=26.17, p (<0.00001).Conclusion: There was two fold increase in the occurrence of cancers of stomach, oesophagus, ovary, pancreas, lung, breast, colon, and twenty fold increase in the occurrence of lympho-proliferative cancers.

Key words: Renal transplant, Cancer, Immunosuppressant, Meta-analysis, End stage renal disease

### INTRODUCTION

Organ transplantation is considered to be the most effective treatment for patients with end-stage organ failure. Organ transplantation involves removal of organ from the donor's body and transplanting into the

recipient. It may result in stimulation of immune response against the donated organ by the recipient's body leading to various complication or even death. To reduce the risk of graft rejection immunosuppressant treatments are given to organ transplant recipients. However it may lead to various other complications because of overall reduction of recipient's immune status. Kidney transplantation is by far the most commonly done transplantation all around. Renal transplantation is considered as the most desired option for treatment in patients with irreversible chronic kidney disease. Solid organ transplant patients are at an expanded danger of malignancy when compared with the overall public (about 3- multiple times) with genuine consequences(1). With significantly improved survival due to potent immunosuppressive drugs; better control of infectious complications, and cardiovascular events, there is an increase in incidence (average 5%-6%, range 1%–30%) of malignancies in these patients. Latent viral infection, acquired viral infections and other acquired risk factors are the major cause of post-transplant cancer because of reduced immune response by immunosuppressive drug(2). In a study that included of over 35,000 first time renal transplant recipients, the cancer rates for the commonest tumours, e.g. stomach, oesophagus, ovary, pancreas, lung, breast and colon were roughly twofold higher after kidney transplantation compared with the general population; testicular and bladder cancers were increased about threefold; and kidney cancer was approximately 15 fold more common. Finally, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, non-melanoma skin cancer, Kaposi's sarcoma were encountered with over 20 fold increased frequency(3). The following factors such as age, previous history of malignancy, male gender, dosage of immune suppression, sun exposure, time period of pre-transplant dialysis concomitant viral infection and lymphocyte-depleting antibodies are said to have a effect on incidence of cancer after transplantation(4,5,7)Hence the purpose of this meta-analysis to find the spectrum of cancers associated with renal transplant patients.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS:

**Data Source:**The studies which testified the incidence of cancer after renal transplantation were collected from various search engines such as Google Scholar, PubMed and EMBASE. Eligible studies were recognized using The Medical Subject Heading (MESH) terms 'Malignancy', 'Cancer' and transplantation. Data from several observational studies have been involved in this meta-analysis.

**Study eligibility:**All studies that evaluated the occurrence of cancer after renal transplantation between the years 1990-2018 were considered to be eligible for this study.

**Study Selection:** Abstract of the potentially suitable studies was read carefully and selected as suitable trials as per inclusion criteria.

**Data Collection:**Required data from the full-text trials of selected studies were initially tabulated in RevMan 5.3 review software and statistical analyses were performed.

**Data Management:**Mendeley version 1.91.5 reference manager software is used to download and manage the reference articles used in the study.

**Data Items:**Data such as the name of the journal, author name, year of article publication, study plan, sample size, Mean age, type of malignancy reported and Immunosuppressant drug used were extracted from the selected eligible studies.

**Quality assessment:** MOOSE guidelines were used to assess the quality of the studies included in this study and reviewed briefly in RevMan version 5.3 review manager software. Most of the included studies access the incidence of cancer in the renal transplant recipient. The selected studies were well organized and evaluated using funnel plot.

**Statistical Analysis:** Statistical analysis of the included data was done using RevMan version 5.3 review manager software designed for meta-analysis and systemic review studies. Quantification of heterogeneity is done by  $I^2$  value. The statistical method used was the chi-square test. Funnel plot representation is used to test the publication bias of the studies included.





Journal	Title	Study	Sample	Type of	Reported	Immuno -
		Design	Size	Organ	Malignancy	Suppressant
				Transplant		Drugs
Indian Journal of	Spectrum of lympho	Retrospective	2000	Renal	40 malignancy in	Azathioprine,
Nephrology	proliferative disorders	analysis			29 patients.	Prednisolone,
2013 Jul-Aug;	following Renal				72.5% of all	Cyclosporine.
23(4);287-291	transplantation in North				malignancy after	
	India(5)				transplantation	
Nephrology	Low incidence of	Retrospective	334	Renal	6 malignancy in 4	Azathioprine,
1995;1,301-305	malignancies following	Analysis			patients	Prednisolone,
	renal transplantation in					Cyclosporine.
	India(6)					
Clinical	Post – transplant	Retrospective	1700	Renal	9 patients	Azathioprine,
transplantation	lymphoproliferative	evaluation				Cyclosporine,
Clin transplant	disorder after live					Prednisolone.
2005 :19 :668-	donor renal					
673	transplantation(7)					
Indian Journal of	Carcinoma of the	Retrospective	338	Renal	16 malignancy in	Azathioprine,
Nephrology	tongue in renal	Analysis			15 patients	Cyclosporine,
Year:2018	transplant recipients:				(average 5%-6%,	Prednisolone,
Volume: 28	An unusual spectrum of				range 1%-30%)	Mycophenolate
	de novo malignancy at				of	mofetil (MMF)
	a tertiary care centre in				Malignancy	Calcineurin
	India over a period of					inhibitor or
	26 years (8)					tacrolimus
Journal of	Malignancies following		294	Renal	6 malignancy in 4	Azathioprine
Nephrology and	kidney transplantation	Retrospective			patients	Prednisolone
Renal	(9)	Study				
Transplantation						
JNRT2(1)2009:						
94-105						

## TABLE 1- Characteristics of National / International studies included in Meta-analysis

Journal	Title	Study Design	Sample	Type of Organ	Reported	Immuno-
			Size	Transplant	Malignancy	Suppressant
						Drugs
Indian Journal of	Benign and	Descriptive	100	Renal	6	Prednisolone,
Dermatology	Malignant Skin	study			(4- cases were	azathioprine
2009 Jul-Sep;	Lesions in				skin cancers)	and
54(3);247-250	Renal				(1-Transitional	cyclosporine
	Transplant				cell carcinoma)	
	Recipients(10)				(1-non-Hodgkin	
					lymphoma)	
Transplantation	Incidence of	Cohort study	3521	Renal	172	Mycophenolate,
Vol.76,1448-	Cancer after				(39-Kaposi's	Cyclosporine and
1451, No.10,	Kidney				sarcoma)	Tacrolimus
November	Transplant				(38-	
27,2003	Results from the				lymphoproliferati	
	North Italy				ve diseases)	
	Transplant				(95-carcinomas	

	program(11)				like kidney,skin,colore ctal,breast,gastric, lung,bladder,meso thelioma)	
Department of Dermatology Vol.49,506- 509,No.3, March1990	Incidence of Skin cancer after Renal transplantation in the Netherlands(12)	Cohort study	764	Renal	47 (29-squamous cell carcinoma) (18-basal cell carcinoma)	Prednisolone, azathioprine
Supplement to Transplantation November 27,2012,Vol94	Malignancy Incidence after Renal Transplantation (13)	Retrospective study	2461	Renal	138	Not specified
BMC Nephrology (2018)19:311	Cancer risk after Transplantation in South Korea:a nationwide population- based study (14)	Cohort Study	1343	Renal	104	Azathioprine, Cyclosporine, Prednisolone, Mycophenolate mofetil, Tacrolimus, and sirolimus

### RESULT

	Incidence of o	ancer	No Incidence of	cancer		Risk Ratio	Ri	Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Ra	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		
G Narayan	15	338	323	338	21.8%	0.05 (0.03, 0.08)	-			
Kiran Joshi	4	294	290	294	17.5%	0.01 [0.01, 0.04]				
Manoj Jain	9	1700	1691	1700	20.5%	0.01 [0.00, 0.01]				
V.Sakhuja etl	29	2000	1971	2000	22.7%	0.01 [0.01, 0.02]	+			
Vinay Sakhuja	4	334	330	334	17.5%	0.01 [0.00, 0.03]				
Total (95% CI)		4666		4666	100.0%	0.01 [0.01, 0.03]	•			
Total events	61		4605							
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.67; Chi² = 32.53, df = 4 (P < 0.00001); I² = 88%								1 10	500	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 10.55 (P < 0	.00001)				No Incidence of cano	er Incidence of cancer	000		

**Figure 2:** Forest plot comparison of Incidence of cancer after renal transplantation from National studies Analysis model = random effects. Effect measure = risk ratio; Statistical method =  $I^2$  Heterogeneity. 95% CI is represented by the diamond at the bottom.

Annals of R.S.C.B., ISSN:1583-6258, Vol. 25, Issue 4, 2021, Pages. 3664 - 3672 Received 05 March 2021; Accepted 01 April 2021.



Figure 3: Funnel plot comparison of National studies for Incidence of cancer and Non-incidence of cancer.

	Incidence of	cancer	Non incidence of	cancer		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95% Cl		
H Ghaninejad etl	6	100	94	100	5.7%	0.06 [0.03, 0.14]				
Jaesung Heo	104	1343	1239	1343	24.0%	0.08 [0.07, 0.10]	+			
Kim J.H	138	2461	2323	2461	25.1%	0.06 [0.05, 0.07]	+			
Marleen M . Hartevelt	47	764	717	764	19.3%	0.07 [0.05, 0.09]	-			
Paola Pedotti etl	172	3521	3349	3521	25.9%	0.05 [0.04, 0.06]	+			
Total (95% CI)		8189		8189	100.0%	0.06 [0.05, 0.08]	♦			
Total events	467		7722							
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.04; Chi² = 17.24, df = 4 (P = 0.002); l² = 77%										400
Test for overall effect: $Z = 26.17 (P < 0.00001)$							Non incidence of	1 of cancer Incide	nce of cancer	100

**Figure 4:**Forest plot comparison for Incidence of cancer after renal transplantation from International studies. ; Analysis model = random effects, Effect measure = risk ratio; Statistical method = I<sup>2</sup> Heterogeneity. 95% CI is represented by the diamond at the bottom.





Annals of R.S.C.B., ISSN:1583-6258, Vol. 25, Issue 4, 2021, Pages. 3664 - 3672 Received 05 March 2021; Accepted 01 April 2021.

	Male		Male Female			Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Random, 95%	CI	
G.Narayan	11	15	4	15	10.6%	2.75 [1.13, 6.72]			_	
Jaesung Heo	63	104	41	104	23.8%	1.54 [1.16, 2.04]				
Maeleen M. Hartevelt	35	47	12	47	18.0%	2.92 [1.74, 4.89]				
Manoj Jain	8	9	1	9	3.5%	8.00 [1.24, 51.51]				
Paola pedotti	130	172	42	172	24.0%	3.10 [2.35, 4.08]		-		
V.Sakhuja etl	22	29	7	29	14.4%	3.14 [1.60, 6.19]			-	
Vinay Sakhuja	2	4	2	4	5.7%	1.00 [0.25, 4.00]				
Total (95% CI)		380		380	100.0%	2.49 [1.72, 3.60]		•		
Total events	271		109							
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.13; Chi² = 17.47, df = 6 (P = 0.008); l² = 66%						6%		1 1	10	100
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.82 (P < 0.00001)							0.01 0.	Female Male	10	100

Figure 6: Forest plot comparison of Gender representation for National and International Studies. Analysis model = random effects, Effect measure = risk ratio; Statistical method =  $I^2$  Heterogeneity. 95% CI is represented by the diamond at the bottom.

#### DISCUSSION

This meta-analysis aims to describe the risk of cancer in renal transplant recipients. Renal transplantation has progressively become a routine medical treatment. The overall occurrence of malignancies in Indian transplant recipients is low (6). The absence of registry prevents an accurate estimation of cancer risk. Data were collected from 5 National and 5 International studies from the 1990s and analysed. The most common cancer noticed from the observed general population are kaposi sarcoma , non-melanoma skin cancers, myeloma, thyroid cancer, lymphoproliferative disorders, oral cancer, non-hodgkin lymphoma, cervical cancer, breast cancer, lung cancer, kidney cancer and stomach cancer (8,9,10).

This study included 4666 recipients of kidney transplants from National studies and 8189 recipients of kidney transplants from International studies. The mean age for cancer risk observed from the National studies was 43.35 year and for International studies was 45.75 year. The data collected from different studies were analyzed using RevMan software 5.3 version. Forest plot comparison for incidence of cancer after renal transplantation from National studies (figure2) which showed the risk of cancer was low and total Confidence Interval was 95%, Incidence of cancer was 61 and Non incidence of cancer was 4605 out of 4666 recipients compared in National studies, Heterogeneity chi<sup>2</sup> = 32.53 and p(<0.0001), I<sup>2</sup> =88%, Test for overall effect Z=33.99, p(<0.0001).Forest plot comparison for the incidence of cancer after renal transplantation from International studies (figure4) which showed the risk of cancer was low. Total Confidence Interval was 95%, Incidence of cancer was 467 and Non incidence of cancer was 7722 out of 8189 recipients compared in International studies, Heterogeneity tau = 0.04 Chi = 17.24 df= 4 I = 77% (p=0.002), test for overall effect Z=26.17, p (<0.0001).Forest plot for comparison of Gender representation of National and International studies (figure 6) which showed that risk of cancer after renal transplantation and International studies (figure 6) which showed that risk of cancer after renal transplantation for National and International studies (figure 6) which showed that risk of cancer after renal transplantation for National and International studies (figure 6) which showed that risk of cancer after renal transplantation and International studies (figure 6) which showed that risk of cancer after renal transplantation was more common in males than

in female. Total confidence interval was 95%, Heterogeneity tau<sup>2</sup> =0.13 Chi <sup>2</sup>=17.47, df=6(p=0.008) I<sup>2</sup>=66%. Test for overall effect Z=4.82(p<0.00001).

### STRENGTH AND LIMITATIONS

The study has several strengths. It is one of the few meta-analysis studies which described the cancer risk in renal transplantation. We used data from valuable studies with huge number of sample size. This study summarizing all available data on the risk of cancer after renal transplantation providing pooled risk estimate separately from National and International studies for Incidence of cancer, Non-incidence of cancer, Mean age and Gender representation. The year of transplantation ranged from 1990 to 2018 in the included studies. We limited the study to a single organ type. We observed an asymmetrical funnel plot due to the inclusion of studies in small number with different sample sizes and hence the possibility of publication bias needs to be addressed.

### CONCLUSION

From this meta-analysis of 12,855 patients with renal transplant recipients, it can be concluded that there was twofold increase in the occurrence of cancers of stomach, oesophagus, ovary, pancreas, lung, breast, colon, and twenty fold increase in the occurrence of lympho-proliferative cancers. Association of various above cancers in other organ transplant recipients can be taken as further scope of this study and can be compared.

### **Declaration of interest**:

I declare that there is no conflict of Interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

### Funding:

This research did not receive any specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not for profit sector.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Duncan MD, Wilkes DS. Transplant-related immunosuppression: A review of immunosuppression and pulmonary infections. Proc Am Thorac Soc. 2005;2(5):449–55.
- 2. Vanichanan J, Udomkarnjananun S, Avihingsanon Y, Jutivorakool K. Common viral infections in kidney transplant recipients. Kidney Res Clin Pract. 2018;37(4):323–37.
- 3. Patel R V., Frankel A, Goldenberg G. An update on nonmelanoma skin cancer. J Clin Aesthet Dermatol. 2011;4(2):20–7.
- 4. Stallone G, Infante B, Grandaliano G. Management and prevention of post-transplant malignancies in kidney transplant recipients. Clin Kidney J. 2015;8(5):637–44.
- 5. Sakhuja V, Ramachandran R, Kohli HS, Jha V, Gupta KL, Rathi M, et al. Spectrum of lymphoproliferative disorders following renal transplantation in North India. 2013;23(4):287–92.
- 6. Singh K, Chughl ZKS. Low incidence of malignancies following renal transplantation in India. 1995;(June):301–5.
- 7. Sprangers B, Nair V, Launay-Vacher V, Riella L V., Jhaveri KD. Risk factors associated with postkidney transplant malignancies: An article from the Cancer-Kidney International Network. Clin

Kidney J. 2018;11(3):315–29.

- 8. Ganji M, Mirnezami M, Nezami R, Kiani P. Original Article BENIGN AND MALIGNANT SKIN LESIONS IN RENAL TRANSPLANT RECIPIENTS. 2009;54(3).
- 9. Edotti PAP, Ardillo MAC, Ossini GIR, Rcuri VAA, Oschiero LUB, Aldara ROC, et al. INCIDENCE OF CANCER AFTER KIDNEY TRANSPLANT: RESULTS FROM THE NORTH ITALY TRANSPLANT PROGRAM. 2003;76(10):1448–51.
- 10. Heo J, Noh OK, Oh Y, Chun M, Kim L. Cancer risk after renal transplantation in South Korea : a nationwide population- based study. 2018;1–6.