

## **Retrospective Analysis on Types of Suture Materials Used in Neck Dissection for Patients Undergoing Cancer Surgery in Private Dental University Hospital**

**Type of Manuscript:** Retrospective study

**Running Title:** Retrospective analysis on Types of suture materials used in neck dissection for patients undergoing cancer surgery in private dental university hospital.

**J.ChandraPooja**

Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals  
Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences  
Saveetha University  
Chennai, India  
Email: 151501060.sdc@saveetha.com

**V.Jagadish**

Senior lecturer  
Department Of Oral surgery  
Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals  
Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences  
Saveetha University  
Chennai, India  
Email: jegadishv.sdc@saveetha.com

**Ganesh Jeevanandan**

Reader  
Department of Pedodontics  
Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals  
Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences  
Saveetha University  
Chennai, India  
Email: ganesh.sdc@saveetha.com

### **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR**

**Dr.V.Jagadish**

Senior lecturer  
Department Of Oral surgery  
Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals  
Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences  
Saveetha University  
162, PH Road, chennai 600077  
Tamilnadu, India  
Contact number: + 91-8610358615  
Email: jegadishv.sdc@saveetha.com

## ABSTRACT

Selection of appropriate suture material is of immense importance in the matter of wound healing. By the term suture, the material by which two surfaces are kept in position. The time of removal of sutures, size and types of suture used, also determine the quality of healing. Especially the healing of surgery wounds of neck dissection in cancer patients. The aim of the study is to evaluate the type of suture materials used in neck dissection for cancer patients undergoing neck dissection. A retrospective study was carried out by reviewing, analysing the data of 86000 patient between June 2019 to March 2020, among which 32 patients were selected who reported to the Department of Oral surgery and oral oncology for neck dissection. The various suture materials preferred for wound closure were collected from the patient records and tabulated on a spreadsheet. The collected data was analysed by computer software SPSS version 21 using Chi square test with the level of significance with age, gender and most preferred suture material. The highest number of cancer patients were found under the age group of 50 years (37.84%) and was most prevalent among male patients (81.08%). The extra oral suture materials used were ethilon (56.76%), silk (40.54%) and nylon (2.70%) with the most commonly used suture material being ethilon due to its superior qualities. The suture material used had no significant association with age (p value-0.32) and gender (p value-0.649). The most preferred suture material was ethilon due to its superior qualities.

**KEY WORDS:** Cancer patients; ethilon; nylon; silk; suture materials

## INTRODUCTION

Wound closure is an important aspect of wound healing. A wound may be caused by violence, injection or deliberate traumatism like surgical incision. Accurate approximation of wound edges, maintenance of hemostasis and respectful tissue handling during an operation are necessary to help the healing process. Numerous factors play a role in wound healing. Suture materials are one of the important factors for wound healing [(1)] [(2)].

Suture materials are threads of natural, synthetic, metallic material to see a wound or incision together. The two most common types of suture materials are absorbable and nonabsorbable suture materials and multifilament or monofilament suture materials.

History of suture materials – Egyptian scrolls dating back to as early as 3500 BC described wound closure using suture materials [(3)] [(4)]. In past centuries, there have been many suture materials including animal tendons, horse hair, leather strips, vegetable fibres and human hair. In 1806, Philip Syng Physick a sturdy absorbable suture made of duck skin essentially inventing the modern techniques of suturing [(5)] [(6)] [(7)]. From time to time in surgical literature, there have been discussions of ‘the ideal suture material’. For skin repair, the ideal material should be invented in the tissue to induce no foreign body reaction, have fine calibre and smooth surface and be strong and easy to handle. In addition it should provide a secure knotting characteristic and minimal trauma to the tissue.

Also, suture materials must have certain handling qualities to be effectively used [(8)] [(9)] [(10)]. Suture strength, injection risk, tissue, holding power, incision type and suturing technique are important factors for deciding type of suture for wound closure. The choice of suture for a

particular procedure should be used on known physical and biological properties of suture, suture technique and healing properties of sutured tissue. However it all comes to the affordability and availability end of the day.

Some commonly used suture in neck dissection are ethilon, silk, nylon and prolene. The major goal of the suture material is to provide an adequate tension for wound closure without dead space but loose enough to obviate tissue ischemia and necrosis[(11)] [(12)] [(13)].Our team has rich experience in research and we have collaborated with numerous authors over various topics in the past decade (14)(15–37). The aim of the study is to evaluate the suture materials used in neck dissection in surgery patients of Saveetha Dental College.

## **MATERIALS AND METHOD**

### **Study design**

In this retrospective study, data from 86,000 patients records within Saveetha Dental College were retrieved and the data of cancer patients who underwent neck dissection were collected. At data extraction, all information was analysed and tabulated onto a spreadsheet. The study was commenced after approval from the Institutional Review Board. Cancer patients who were undergoing neck dissection were reviewed to check the reason and preference of selection.

### **Ethical clearance**

The ethical clearance was(SDC/SIHEC/2020/DIASDATA/0619-0320) given by the institutional ethics committee, Saveetha Institute of medical and Technical science, Saveetha University.

### **Subjects and procedures**

Data were analysed, reviewed and collected from a record of 86000 patients between June 2019 to March 2020, among which 32 patients who got surgical neck dissection. The following data were retrieved from the dental records: patient age, gender and usage.

### **Statistical Analysis**

The data entry was done in MS excel sheet and data analysis was done in [IBM SPSS statistics]. The data was verified by saveetha ethics committee and by 2 examiners. The dependent variables were age and gender and independent variables were the patient's willingness, socio economic status. Chi-square test was used to compare the study subject with age, gender and usage.

## **RESULTS**

A total of 37 patients with a mean age of 1.28 years were included in the present study. Age was divided into three groups namely group 1(<50 years), group 2(51-60 years), group 3(>60 years). Figure 1 shows the distribution of cancer patients according to age, below 50 years there were 14 cancer patients(37.84%), between the age 51-60 years there were 12 cancer patients(32.43%) and above 60 years there were 11 patients(29.73%) with the highest number of cancer patients found under the age group of 50 years. Figure 2 shows the distribution of cancer patients according to

gender, there were 30 male(81.08) cancer patients and 7 female cancer patients, with male patients being more when compared to female(Figure 2). Figure 3 shows distribution of suture materials according to usage, ethilon was 56.76%, silk was 40.54% and nylon was 2.70% with ethilon being the most used extra oral suture.

Chi-square test was done between the suture material with age and gender to find the level of significance. Figure 4 shows the association of age of the patient and suture material used, under group 1(<50 years), ethilon was 16.22% and silk was 21.62%, under group 2(51-60 years),ethilon was 18.92%,silk was 10.81% and nylon was 2.70%, under group 3(>60 years), ethilon was 21.62% and silk was 8.11%. The Pearson's chi square value was  $p=0.326$  hence it was statistically not significant. Figure 5 shows the association of age of the patient with suture material used, among the male patients , ethilon was 43.24%, silk was 35.14% and nylon was 2.70%. Among female patients, ethilon was 13.51% and silk was 5.41%. The Pearson's chi square value was  $p=0.649$  hence it was statistically not significant.

## DISCUSSION

The study results (figure 1) showed that there were the most number of cancer patients under the age group of above 40, the results of this study is similar to Hindle I et al which states that oral cancer remains primarily a disease of older patients; cases occurring in young adults are uncommon in the region of oral cancer in England and Wales[(38)] [(39)].

The study results (Figure 2) showed that female patients were more affected than male patients this is similar to the results of Akshat Malik.A et al which states that the number of male cancer patients has increased 2 folds as compared to the female patients. This shows that though the number of female patients has risen over years which is due to increased alcohol and tobacco consumption, still the problem remains much among males[(40)] [(18)] .Studies show that general characteristics of the patient such as age and gender and wounds's site seemed to be the two primary risk factors responsible for local wound complication[(41)] [(42)].

The most commonly used suture material i was ethilon according to the study results which is used because of its increased efficiency such as minimal inflammatory reaction slides well, easy removal and provides ideal running in intradermal stitches [(43)].

There are certain studies which say that oral tissue reacts to sutures and have revealed constant inflammatory reactions, which are more prominent with silk and cotton and minimal with nylon, polyester, EPTFE poliglecaprone 25 and PGA [(44)] [(45)]. But silk is still being used as it is the most cost effective and widely available suture material.

Over the years suture materials were overlooked by many other wound closure methods such as skin staples, adhesives[glues and adhesive tapes] to allow the surgeons to replace their tedious suturing technique which also results in better cosmetic appearance learning less scars[(46)] [(17)].Our institution is passionate about high quality evidence based research and has excelled in various fields ( 32,33,47–55). A study by Jitender Batra et.al concluded that skin staples are better alternatives to conventional sutures in head and neck surgery as they offer 10 times faster wound closure, cost effectiveness, patient comfort, aesthetic outcome and complication rate[(56)] [(21)] [(57)].

## CONCLUSION

To conclude our study male population had more oral cancer incidence than the female population and the increased incidence of oral cancer between 40 to 50 years. Although the association of age and gender with suture material used was not statistically significant, ethilon was used in majority of the patients in both male and females because of its superior properties. Ethilon was preferred due to its monofilament configuration which is less susceptible to bacterial invasion which was followed by silk and nylon in neck dissection. With the range of different skill and closure materials available today, choosing an ideal, rapid, safe and most practical and cost-effective materials is important. The major influence on selection of material was patient's affordability with ethilon being the ideal one. Furthermore studies are required on a larger scale population on different suture material usage and selection.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Author 1(J.Chandrapooja) carried out the retrospective study by collecting data and drafted manuscript performing the necessary statistical analysis. Author 2(Dr.Jagadish.V) aided in the conception of the topic, participated in the study design, statistical analysis and coordinated in developing the manuscript author 3(Dr.Ganesh Jeevanandhan) aided in coordinating and developing the manuscript. All the authors have contributed in developing the manuscript.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge the support of the department of Oral surgery, oral oncology, Medical records department, information technology of saveetha dental college and Hospitals and the management for their constant assistance with the research.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There is no conflict of interest.

## REFERENCE

1. Bora KK, Choudhury MM. SUTURE MATERIAL-IT'S IMPORTANCE IN WOUND HEALING. JOURNAL OF EVOLUTION OF MEDICAL AND DENTAL SCIENCES-JEMDS. 2015;4(48):8294-7.
2. Jesudasan JS, Wahab PUA, Sekhar MRM. Effectiveness of 0.2% chlorhexidine gel and a eugenol-based paste on postoperative alveolar osteitis in patients having third molars extracted: a randomised controlled clinical trial. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2015 Nov 1;53(9):826-30.
3. LaBagnara J Jr. A review of absorbable suture materials in head & neck surgery and introduction of monocryl: a new absorbable suture. Ear Nose Throat J. 1995 Jun;74(6):409-15.
4. Christabel A, Anantanarayanan P, Subash P, Soh CL, Ramanathan M, Muthusekhar MR, et al. Comparison of pterygomaxillary dysjunction with tuberosity separation in isolated Le Fort I osteotomies: a prospective, multi-centre, triple-blind, randomized controlled trial. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2016 Feb 1;45(2):180-5.
5. Luck RP, Flood R, Eyal D, Saludades J, Hayes C, Gaughan J. Cosmetic outcomes of absorbable versus nonabsorbable sutures in pediatric facial lacerations. Pediatr Emerg Care. 2008 Mar;24(3):137-42.

6. Kumar S, Snena S. Knowledge and awareness regarding antibiotic prophylaxis for infective endocarditis among undergraduate dental students. *Asian J Pharm Clin Res.* 2016;9:154–9.
7. Mp SK. Relationship between dental anxiety and pain experience during dental extractions. *Asian J Pharm Clin Res.* 2017;10(3):458–61.
8. Bastian PJ, Haferkamp A, Albers P, Müller SC. A new form of noninvasive wound closure with a surgical zipper. *J Urol.* 2003 May;169(5):1785–6.
9. Patturaja K, Pradeep D. Awareness of Basic Dental Procedure among General Population. *J Pharm Res* [Internet]. 2016; Available from: <http://www.indianjournals.com/ijor.aspx?target=ijor:rjpt&volume=9&issue=9&article=010>
10. Mp SK, Rahman R. Knowledge, awareness, and practices regarding biomedical waste management among undergraduate dental students. *Asian J Pharm Clin Res* [Internet]. 2017; Available from: <https://innovareacademics.org/journals/index.php/ajpcr/article/download/19101/12066>
11. Koshak HH. Dental suturing materials and techniques. *Global Journal of Otolaryngology.* 2017;12(2):1–11.
12. Patil SB, Durairaj D, Suresh Kumar G, Karthikeyan D, Pradeep D. Comparison of Extended Nasolabial Flap Versus Buccal Fat Pad Graft in the Surgical Management of Oral Submucous Fibrosis: A Prospective Pilot Study. *J Maxillofac Oral Surg.* 2017 Sep;16(3):312–21.
13. Packiri S, Gurunathan D, Selvarasu K. Management of Paediatric Oral Ranula: A Systematic Review. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2017 Sep;11(9):ZE06–9.
14. Subramanyam D, Gurunathan D, Gaayathri R, Vishnu Priya V. Comparative evaluation of salivary malondialdehyde levels as a marker of lipid peroxidation in early childhood caries. *Eur J Dent.* 2018 Jan;12(1):67–70.
15. Panchal V, Jeevanandan G, Subramanian E. Comparison of instrumentation time and obturation quality between hand K-file, H-files, and rotary Kedo-S in root canal treatment of primary teeth: A randomized controlled trial. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent.* 2019 Jan;37(1):75–9.
16. Rajeshkumar S, Kumar SV, Ramaiah A, Agarwal H, Lakshmi T, Roopan SM. Biosynthesis of zinc oxide nanoparticles using *Mangifera indica* leaves and evaluation of their antioxidant and cytotoxic properties in lung cancer (A549) cells. *Enzyme Microb Technol.* 2018 Oct;117:91–5.
17. Abhinav RP, Selvarasu K, Maheswari GU, Taltia AA. The Patterns and Etiology of Maxillofacial Trauma in South India. *Ann Maxillofac Surg.* 2019 Jan;9(1):114–7.
18. Marimuthu M, Andiappan M, Wahab A, Muthusekhar MR, Balakrishnan A, Shanmugam S. Canonical Wnt pathway gene expression and their clinical correlation in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Indian J Dent Res.* 2018 May;29(3):291–7.
19. Kavarthapu A, Thamaraiselvan M. Assessing the variation in course and position of inferior alveolar nerve among south Indian population: A cone beam computed tomographic study. *Indian J Dent Res.* 2018 Jul;29(4):405–9.
20. Ramesh A, Vellayappan R, Ravi S, Gurumoorthy K. Esthetic lip repositioning: A cosmetic approach for correction of gummy smile - A case series. *J Indian Soc Periodontol.* 2019 May;23(3):290–4.
21. Sweta VR, Abhinav RP, Ramesh A. Role of virtual reality in pain perception of patients following the administration of local anesthesia. *Ann Maxillofac Surg.* 2019 Jan;9(1):110–3.
22. Felicita AS. Orthodontic extrusion of Ellis Class VIII fracture of maxillary lateral incisor




- The sling shot method. Saudi Dent J. 2018 Jul;30(3):265–9.
- 23. Rao TD, Kumar MPS. Analgesic efficacy of paracetamol vs ketorolac after dental extractions. J Adv Pharm Technol Res. 2018;11(8):3375.
- 24. Fluoride, fluoridated toothpaste efficacy and its safety in children - review. Int J Pharm Res [Internet]. 2018 Oct 1;10(04). Available from: <http://www.ijpronline.com/ViewArticleDetail.aspx?ID=7041>
- 25. Ponnulakshmi R, Shyamaladevi B, Vijayalakshmi P, Selvaraj J. In silico and in vivo analysis to identify the antidiabetic activity of beta sitosterol in adipose tissue of high fat diet and sucrose induced type-2 diabetic experimental rats. Toxicol Mech Methods. 2019 May;29(4):276–90.
- 26. Paramasivam A, Vijayashree Priyadharsini J, Raghunandhakumar S. N6-adenosine methylation (m6A): a promising new molecular target in hypertension and cardiovascular diseases. Hypertens Res. 2020 Feb;43(2):153–4.
- 27. Mehta M, Deeksha, Tewari D, Gupta G, Awasthi R, Singh H, et al. Oligonucleotide therapy: An emerging focus area for drug delivery in chronic inflammatory respiratory diseases. Chem Biol Interact. 2019 Aug 1;308:206–15.
- 28. Padavala S, Sukumaran G. Molar Incisor Hypomineralization and Its Prevalence. Contemp Clin Dent. 2018 Sep;9(Suppl 2):S246–50.
- 29. Pandian KS, Krishnan S, Kumar SA. Angular photogrammetric analysis of the soft-tissue facial profile of Indian adults. Indian J Dent Res. 2018 Mar;29(2):137–43.
- 30. Nair M, Jeevanandan G, R V, Emg S. Comparative evaluation of post-operative pain after pulpectomy with k-files, kedos files and mtwo files in deciduous molars -a randomized clinical trial. Braz Dent Sci. 2018 Oct 24;21(4):411.
- 31. Ke Y, Al Aboody MS, Alturaiki W, Alsagaby SA, Alfaiz FA, Veeraraghavan VP, et al. Photosynthesized gold nanoparticles from *Catharanthus roseus* induces caspase-mediated apoptosis in cervical cancer cells (HeLa). Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol. 2019 Dec;47(1):1938–46.
- 32. Sridharan G, Ramani P, Patankar S, Vijayaraghavan R. Evaluation of salivary metabolomics in oral leukoplakia and oral squamous cell carcinoma. J Oral Pathol Med. 2019 Apr;48(4):299–306.
- 33. Vijayashree Priyadharsini J, Smiline Girija AS, Paramasivam A. In silico analysis of virulence genes in an emerging dental pathogen *A. baumannii* and related species. Arch Oral Biol. 2018 Oct;94:93–8.
- 34. Krishnan RP, Ramani P, Sherlin HJ, Sukumaran G, Ramasubramanian A, Jayaraj G, et al. Surgical Specimen Handover from Operation Theater to Laboratory: A Survey. Ann Maxillofac Surg. 2018 Jul;8(2):234–8.
- 35. Ezhilarasan D. Oxidative stress is bane in chronic liver diseases: Clinical and experimental perspective. Arab J Gastroenterol. 2018 Jun;19(2):56–64.
- 36. Palati S, Ramani P, Shrelin HJ, Sukumaran G, Ramasubramanian A, Don KR, et al. Knowledge, Attitude and practice survey on the perspective of oral lesions and dental health in geriatric patients residing in old age homes. Indian J Dent Res. 2020 Jan;31(1):22–5.
- 37. Wu F, Zhu J, Li G, Wang J, Veeraraghavan VP, Krishna Mohan S, et al. Biologically synthesized green gold nanoparticles from induce growth-inhibitory effect on melanoma cells (B16). Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol. 2019 Dec;47(1):3297–305.
- 38. Hindle I, Nally F. Oral cancer: a comparative study between 1962-67 and 1980-84 in England and Wales. Br Dent J. 1991 Jan 5;170(1):15–20.


39. Mp SK. The emerging role of botulinum toxin in the treatment of orofacial disorders: Literature update. *Asian J Pharm Clin Res* [Internet]. 2017; Available from: <https://innovareacademics.org/journals/index.php/ajpcr/article/download/16914/12228>
40. Malik A, Mishra A, Garg A, Shetty R, Mair M, Chakrabarti S, et al. Trends of oral cancer with regard to age, gender, and subsite over 16 years at a tertiary cancer center in India. *Indian J Med Paediatr Oncol*. 2018 Jul 1;39(3):297.
41. Selvi F, Cakarar S, Can T, Kirli Topcu Sİ, Palancioglu A, Keskin B, et al. Effects of different suture materials on tissue healing. *Istanbul Univ Dishekim Fak Derg*. 2016 Jan 12;50(1):35–42.
42. Rahman R, Mp SK. KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE, AND AWARENESS OF DENTAL UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS REGARDING HUMAN IMMUNODEFICIENCY VIRUS/ACQUIRED .... *Asian J Pharm Clin Res* [Internet]. 2017; Available from: <https://innovareacademics.org/journals/index.php/ajpcr/article/download/17277/10802>
43. Weinzwieg J, Weinzwieg N. TECHNIQUES AND GEOMETRY 2 OF WOUND REPAIR. *Plastic Surgery Secrets Plus* [Internet]. 2010; Available from: [https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=nNVmLYBuk5gC&oi=fnd&pg=PA8&dq=+Techniques+and+Geometry+of+Wound+Repair.&ots=JnpQhGXZDi&sig=zTV6wOYcyqY\\_FoBpEroZbbeXaF4](https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=nNVmLYBuk5gC&oi=fnd&pg=PA8&dq=+Techniques+and+Geometry+of+Wound+Repair.&ots=JnpQhGXZDi&sig=zTV6wOYcyqY_FoBpEroZbbeXaF4)
44. Javed F, Al-Askar M, Almas K, Romanos GE. Tissue reactions to various suture materials used in oral surgical interventions. *ISRN* [Internet]. 2012; Available from: <http://downloads.hindawi.com/journals/isrn.dentistry/2012/762095.pdf>
45. Rao TD, Kumar MP. Analgesic Efficacy of Paracetamol Vs Ketorolac after Dental Extractions. *J Pharm Technol* [Internet]. 2018; Available from: <http://www.indianjournals.com/ijor.aspx?target=ijor:rjpt&volume=11&issue=8&article=026>
46. Al-Mubarak L, Al-Haddab M. Cutaneous wound closure materials: an overview and update. *J Cutan Aesthet Surg*. 2013 Oct;6(4):178–88.
47. Vijayashree Priyadharsini J. In silico validation of the non-antibiotic drugs acetaminophen and ibuprofen as antibacterial agents against red complex pathogens. *J Periodontol*. 2019 Dec;90(12):1441–8.
48. Pc J, Marimuthu T, Devadoss P. Prevalence and measurement of anterior loop of the mandibular canal using CBCT: A cross sectional study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* [Internet]. 2018; Available from: <https://europepmc.org/article/med/29624863>
49. Ramesh A, Varghese S, Jayakumar ND, Malaiappan S. Comparative estimation of sulfiredoxin levels between chronic periodontitis and healthy patients - A case-control study. *J Periodontol*. 2018 Oct;89(10):1241–8.
50. Ramadurai N, Gurunathan D, Samuel AV, Subramanian E, Rodrigues SJL. Effectiveness of 2% Articaine as an anesthetic agent in children: randomized controlled trial. *Clin Oral Investig*. 2019 Sep;23(9):3543–50.
51. Ezhilarasan D, Apoorva VS, Ashok Vardhan N. Syzygium cumini extract induced reactive oxygen species-mediated apoptosis in human oral squamous carcinoma cells. *J Oral Pathol Med*. 2019 Feb;48(2):115–21.
52. Mathew MG, Samuel SR, Soni AJ, Roopa KB. Evaluation of adhesion of Streptococcus mutans, plaque accumulation on zirconia and stainless steel crowns, and surrounding gingival inflammation in primary molars: Randomized controlled trial. *Clin Oral Investig*. 2020;1–6.
53. Samuel SR. Can 5-year-olds sensibly self-report the impact of developmental enamel defects on their quality of life? *Int J Paediatr Dent*. 2021 Mar;31(2):285–6.




54. R H, Hannah R, Ramani P, Ramanathan A, R JM, Gheena S, et al. CYP2 C9 polymorphism among patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma and its role in altering the metabolism of benzo[a]pyrene [Internet]. Vol. 130, Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology. 2020. p. 306–12. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.oooo.2020.06.021>
55. Chandrasekar R, Chandrasekhar S, Sundari KKS, Ravi P. Development and validation of a formula for objective assessment of cervical vertebral bone age. Prog Orthod. 2020 Oct 12;21(1):38.
56. Batra J, Bekal RK, Byadgi S, Attresh G. Comparison of skin staples and standard sutures for closing incisions after head and neck cancer surgery: a double-blind, randomized and prospective study. Journal of maxillofacial [Internet]. 2016; Available from: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12663-015-0809-y>
57. Vijayakumar Jain S, Muthusekhar MR, Baig MF, Senthilnathan P, Loganathan S, Abdul Wahab PU, et al. Evaluation of Three-Dimensional Changes in Pharyngeal Airway Following Isolated Lefort One Osteotomy for the Correction of Vertical Maxillary Excess: A Prospective Study. J Maxillofac Oral Surg. 2019 Mar;18(1):139–46.




**Figure 1 :** Bar chart represents the distribution of cancer patients among different age groups. X-axis represents the age of patients and Y-axis represents frequency of cancer patients. From the above graph it is evident that patients with less than 50 years(37.84%) of age were more prone to cancer.




**Figure 2 :** Bar chart represents the distribution of cancer patients among gender. X-axis represents the gender of patients and Y-axis represents total number of cancer patients. Male patients (81.08%) were found to be higher when compared to female patients.



**Figure 3 :** Bar chart represents distribution of suture materials according to usage. X-axis represents different suture materials used in neck dissection and Y-axis represents the total number of cancer patients. It is evident that ethilon suture material(56.76%) has been used more when compared to the other suture materials.



**Figure 4 :** Bar chart depicting association between age of patients with the suture material used. X axis represents age of patients and Y axis represents number of cancer patients. The association between age and use of suture material was found to be statistically not significant using Chi-Square test [ $p = 0.326$  ( $p > 0.05$ )]. Although it is statistically not significant, for those patients with the age group above 60, oral surgeons have commonly used ethilon suture material (21.62%) for neck dissection.



**Figure 5 :** Bar chart depicting association between gender of patients with the suture material used. X axis represents gender of patients and Y axis represents number of cancer patients. The association between age and use of suture material was found to be statistically not significant using Chi-Square test [ $p = 0.649$  ( $p > 0.05$ )]. Although it is statistically not significant, Ethilon suture material (43.24%) was found to be more commonly used by the oral surgeons in both males and females.